

**Application No.: 10/565,551**  
**Serial No.: September 11, 2006**

**AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS**

Figures 4B, 6, 9 and 10 have been amended in order to show which lines are being connected together. Figure 4B has also been amended to show that the supply line is connected to the transistor. These amendments add no new matter.

**Application No.: 10/565,551**  
**Serial No.: September 11, 2006**

## **REMARKS**

The foregoing amendments are responsive to the June 26, 2007 Office Action. Applicant respectfully request reconsideration of the present application in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.

### No Disclaimers or Disavowals

Although the present communication may include alterations to the application or claims, or characterizations of claim scope or referenced art, the Applicants are not conceding in this application that previously pending claims are not patentable over the cited references. Rather, any alterations or characterizations are being made to facilitate expeditious prosecution of this application. The Applicants reserve the right to pursue at a later date any previously pending or other broader or narrower claims that capture any subject matter supported by the present disclosure, including subject matter found to be specifically disclaimed herein or by any prior prosecution. Accordingly, reviewers of this or any parent, child or related prosecution history shall not reasonably infer that the Applicants have made any disclaimers or disavowals of any subject matter supported by the present application.

### Response to Rejection of Claims 1, 2, 5-11 and 14-20 Under 35 U.S.C. 102(a)

The Examiner rejected Claims 1, 2, 5-11 and 14-20 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Tiri et al. ("A Dynamic and Differential CMOS Logic With Signal Independent Power Consumption to Withstand Differential Power Analysis on Smart Cards.") Enclosed herewith are declarations under In re Katz which states that Moonmoon Akmal, one of the authors of this reference, did not provide an inventive contribution to the pending claims, thus rendering this rejection moot.

Accordingly, Applicants assert that Claims 1, 2, 5-11 and 14-20 are in condition for allowance, and Applicants request allowance of Claims 1, 2, 5-11 and 14-20.

### Response to Objection of Claims 3, 4, and 12-14

Claims 3, 4 and 12-14 have been amended to correct grammatical informalities. These amendments add no new matter.

**Application No.: 10/565,551**  
**Serial No.: September 11, 2006**

**Summary**

Applicant respectfully assert that Claims 1-25 are in condition for allowance, and Applicant request allowance of Claims 1-25. If there are any remaining issues that can be resolved by a telephone conference, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at (949) 721-6305 or at the number listed below.

Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extension of time, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-1410.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: December 19, 2007

By: Lee W. Henderson  
Lee W. Henderson Ph.D.  
Registration No. 41,830  
Attorney of Record  
Customer No. 20995  
(949) 760-0404

4223188  
090407